

MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 23/01/2025

Meeting number	CCAG038	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	22 January 10:00-12:00	Classification	Public

New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG38-01	To update the BSC change title to align BSCP65 with BSCP707	Elexon (Christopher Day)	26/02/25
Reporting Milestones, CCAG Risks and Post-M6 Change	CCAG38-02	Programme to add in a link to the M8 Change Control log in the clock	Programme (Andrew Margan)	26/02/25
Summary and Next Steps	CCAG38-03	Programme to cancel February CDWG1	PMO (Katie Dyson)	22/01/25

Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
Headline Report and Actions	CCAG-DEC64	Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 18 December 2024 approved.

RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
Headline Report and Actions	<p>DECISION: Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 18 December 2024 approved (CCAG-DEC64 – recording timestamp 00:03:28).</p>
Horizon Scanning Log	<p>The REC Code Manager provided updates on R0193, I0231.</p> <p>They explained that the new issue, I0231, was raised around making shared sites available in EES.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Supplier Agent Representative asked if there was a timeline or plan for this issue as they highlighted the acknowledgement in the Migration Approach and Plan that shared sites would be the last sites to be migrated, so they wondered whether this has been linked to the request to identify them. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The REC Code Manager confirmed they haven't got a timetable together yet as it depends on the options available. <p>1.1 The Elexon Representative added that it's not directly related to Migration as it is more around the general assurance of shared SVA sites and being able to identify them. They also noted that previous analysis has always identified very few shared SVA sites which suggest the number is likely to remain low.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The MHHS Senior Market Architect echoed that there is no direct link to Migration but agreed they will be the last sites to migrate. They emphasised the need to understand which suppliers are linked to these sites as they might need to be coordinated during Migration. <p>They also provided an update on the REC changes impacted by the Change Freeze.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MHHS Code Lead queried if there is a view from REC if M10 is the right timeline to hold the change freeze to given that it may be more of a hectic run up to Early Life Support, and where best the freeze should be tied to. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The REC Code Manager clarified that implementation timing is not necessarily tied to a specific data such as M10, as each change will be assessed on its own merit and circumstances to determine the best implementation date. <p>The BSC Representative provided a comprehensive update on their changes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MHHS Code Lead queried if the change is to align BSCP65 with BSCP707, and whether the title of this change should be updated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Elexon Representative agreed that the title should be updated. ○ ACTION – To update the BSC change title to align BSCP65 with BSCP707 (CCAG38-01). • The REC Code Manager stated that they thought the Supply Start Date Correction Tool change which is related to REC change R201 was going to be raised and progressed with an aligned change plan. They noted that this is change is progressing from now. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The MHHS Industry SME added that although this change doesn't meet the change freeze criteria, it is seen as very high priority post M10. • The REC Representative asked if they could give examples of type of definitions being added to the SVA data catalogue

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The BSC Representative clarified the business definitions describe the state and use of data flows and will be added to the DIP elements of the SVA data catalogue. <p>The BSC Representative provided an update on Mod P483 and potential impacts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Supplier Representative (Domestic) understand asked if there were plans to review asset metering processes to align with MHHS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The BSC Representative confirmed it is out of scope for MHHS but that the asset metering processes are being reviewed as a separate piece of work to align with MHHS changes. ● The SRO Chair queried if this means the Boundary Point Metering System does not go through settlement ● The BSC Representative clarified that the Boundary Point Meter does go through settlement and that this is about submission into the BM and secondary BM unit, which is under the P375 solution. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The SRO Chair queried if the Boundary Meter would go through the load shaping service and if so raised concerns that this would give out a false impression if the assets are being used for flexibility purposes. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The BSC Representative agreed potentially this is true and something to consider, but there is no impact on MHHS. <p>The BSC Representative provided an update on general BSC Change updates, including the establishment of an MHHS Expert Group which will triage changes with the potential to have a high impact.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Supplier Agent Representative queried if the MHHS Expert Group will look at the list of changes outlined in the M8 Change Control log, or if it's separate to the scope of this group. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The BSC Representative confirmed they can review those changes as well but are aiming to align their own list with the Change Control log to form a consolidated list that is discussed at the new group.
<p>Reporting Milestones, CCAG Risks and Post-M6 Change</p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on the milestone status, risks and post M6/pre M8 Code Change Activities.</p> <p>They also provided an update on the progress of the M8 Change Control log (refer to meeting papers for further detail).</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative queried if the single Mod that has been referred to is solely for BSC topics or if it includes other code changes too. The MHHS Senior Design and Industry Code Advisor explained that BSC elements will be addressed by a single mod, and the REC Representative confirmed the intention is to have a single REC CP covering the required changes for M8.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative highlighted where it states on the slide that the log has been published for industry assurance and queried if this was upcoming or has already happened which they may have missed. The MHHS Code Lead confirmed the M8 Change Control log is published on the Collaboration Base for anyone to view and acknowledged they should put something additional in the clock to notify parties where it is located.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ACTION – Programme to add in a link to the M8 Change Control log in the clock (CCAG38-02). <p>The MHHS Code Lead revisited the proposed timeline for the code changes and highlighted that they key takeaway is that they want to raise only one change and raise it once. They explained that the drafting process will involve working with Code Bodies to assure the drafting and confirmed they will make a request for some industry SME support before publishing. They added that they are aiming to take the changes to CDWG in May or June and then will raise the changes as late as possible to mitigate the risk of any last-minute Design changes being missed.</p>
<p>M8 Code Implementation Update</p>	<p>The Code Bodies provided an update on the M8 Code Implementation/go-live.</p>

The REC Representative explained they intend to raise a REC issue to provide a vehicle for the REC changes to be collated and assessed ahead of the REC CP being raised. They added that they will look to present it to CDWG for review and raise the CP. They are currently having discussions for the timelines of when everything is happening.

- The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried if they are planning to take it to REC for discussion. The REC Code Manager confirmed it is best placed to take it to the Change Issues group and also flag to the previous MHHS Stakeholder Advisory group members so they're aware of where it is being discussed.

The REC Representative provided an update on the EMDS drafting issue. They explained the issues have been identified and reviewed and they are developing a plan for when the EMDS update will be available. They requested input from CCAG on two areas:

1. Should industry SME volunteers support the EMDS update activity now, or should this be linked to the triage and review of code drafting ahead of REC CPs being raised later this year?
2. Should the EMDS update include corrections only up to IR8.1, or should it capture all changes up to IR8.7?

The following discussion took place in response to question 1:

- The Supplier Representative (Domestic) suggested that involving SMEs could help de-risk the approach and offered to help find suitable SMEs, however, also Highlighted that the expertise required might differ depending on the technical nature of the issues.
- The Supplier Agent Representative raised concerns over finding SMEs that have available time and emphasised the need to provide further detailed information to potential volunteers about the effort and time required.
 - The REC Representative acknowledged they need to document everything clearly to help SMEs understand the resource needed, and took on the point that they will need to be primed with more information before requesting their time.
- The MHHS Senior Design and Industry Code Advisor suggested itemising all of the corrections to make it easier for SMEs to review. They also advised documenting changes in the M8 Change Control log and then requesting SME support for validation.
- The Energy Networks Representative also echoed concerns of finding SME resource at the moment and that the amount of work and timescales involved should be considered before requesting support.

The following discussion took place in response to question 2:

- The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried if the updates to include changes to IR8.7 are about timing rather than additional effort.
 - The REC Representative confirmed that the work to identify changes up to IR8.6 has been done so there is only a small additional effort to include up to IR8.7.
 - The Supplier Representative (Domestic) confirmed then that it makes sense to update the EMDS now to align with the Design, but making sure to include the caveat that it remains in draft and is not yet approved.
- The Supplier Agent Representative agreed that given the additional changes don't pose a risk of being objected to, it makes sense to update the EMDS to the latest version available, with a caveat the further changes may still occur before M8.

The REC Representative thanked everyone for their inputs and confirmed they will provide some further comms on the update.

	<p>The BSC Representative and DIP Manager next provided updates on their workstreams</p> <p>The SRO Chair asked the DIP Manager where they can find information on their changes and meetings on the Elexon website. The DIP Manager provided links to the DIP Change Register and DIP Webpage in the meeting chat which are on the Elexon.com rather than Elexon.co.uk website.</p>
Guidance Notes Update	<p>The BSC Representative provided the latest update on Guidance Notes and provided a timeline of when they will be rolled out, starting with the highest priority ones.</p> <p>The MHHS Code Lead requested that they provide a further update on their progress in April.</p>
Summary and Next Steps	ACTION – Programme to cancel February CDWG1 (CCAG38-03).

Next CCAG: 26 February 2025 at 10am

Next CDWG1: Cancelled

Attendees

Chair

Chris Welby (CW)

Role

SRO Chair

Industry Representatives

Christopher Day (CD)

Paul Saker (PS)

Clare Hannah (CH)

Neil Dewar (ND)

Andrew Wallace (AW)

Lawrence Jones (LJ)

Jenny Sarsfield (JS)

Andrew Green (AG)

John Lawton (JL)

Fungai Madzivadondo (FM)

Elexon Representative

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

Supplier Agent Representative

National Grid ESO Representative

REC Representative

BSC Representative

DIP Manager

Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)

DCUSA Representative

Energy Networks Representative

MHHS Programme

Andrew Margan (AM)

Katie Dyson (KD)

Jason Brogden (JB)

Paul Pettitt

Matthew McKeon (MM)

Steven Bradford (SB)

Kevin Spencer (KS)

Code Lead

PMO Governance Analyst

Industry SME

Design Lead

Senior Design and Industry Code Advisor

Senior Market Architect

Other attendees

Harriet Truss (HF)

Andy MacFaul (AM)

Mark DeSouza (MD)

Tim Newton (TN)

Reece Harris (RH)

REC Code Manager

Ofgem

Elexon

Gemserv

IPA

Apologies

David Kemp (DK)

Jenny Boothe (JB)

Rhiannon Harrison (RH)

Kanchan Thite (KT)

Fahreen Japp (FJ)

Sinead Quinn (SQ)

Zahra Pervez (ZP)

Bradley Baker (BB)

DCC Representative

Ofgem

PWC

PWC

Ofgem

Ofgem

IPA

DCC Representative

